

Table of Contents

Preface	11
Gateway to “the Fort”: From Colonial Fortified City to a World Heritage City	11
World Heritage Recognition and Changing Historic Urban Landscapes	13
Relevance and Motivation	13
Aims, Objective and Methods	15
Scope and Structure	16
1. History, Overview and the World Heritage Project	18
1.1 Historical Background of Galle Fort	18
1.1.1 Precolonial Galle	18
1.1.2 The Portuguese Occupation	19
1.1.3 The Dutch Occupation	24
1.1.4 The British Occupation	36
1.1.5 Post-Independence: Residential and Administrative Centre	38
1.2 Galle Fort: A General View	38
1.2.1 Location and Monuments	38
1.2.2 The Community, Land Use and the “Living City”	41
1.3 World Heritage Recognition of the Old Town of Galle and its Fortifications, 1988	44
1.3.1 The Background	44
1.3.2 Heritage Recognition: Expert Effort	46
1.3.3 The Criteria and the Lack of Mention of the Community	47
1.3.4 “A Monument of Dual Parentage”: A Phrase with Dual Purpose	48
1.4 The Progress of the World Heritage Project Over Three Decades	50
1.4.1 The First Decade (1988–1997): Conservation and Preservation	50
1.4.2 The Second Decade (1998–2007): Collaborative Research with the Netherlands	50
1.4.3 The Third Decade (2008–2018): Issues of the World Heritage Project	51
Conclusion	53
2. Theoretical Framework	54
2.1 The Concept of Cultural Landscape	54
2.1.1 Origins	54

2.1.2 Changing Notions in Geography and Successive Use in World Heritage	55
2.1.3 The Cultural Landscape Concept in Managing Historic Cities: UNESCO's HUL Approach	55
2.2 The Notion of World Heritage	56
2.2.1 Origins	56
2.2.2 The Convention and its Critics	57
2.2.3 Heritage Listing of Inhabited Historic Cities	59
2.2.4 World Heritage as a Marker of Transformation in Inhabited Historic Cities: An Asian Experience	60
2.3 The Dynamic Historic City and Current Urban Heritage Management	63
2.3.1 Holistic and Landscape-based Approach Considering the Nature–Culture Relationship	65
2.3.2 Landscape Democracy: Participatory Approach Based on Human Rights	67
2.3.3 Conflict Resolution Through Participation	68
2.3.4 Mitigating the Dynamic Historic Urban Change Rather than Controlling	68
2.4 Participatory Planning and the People-Centred Approaches in Managing Historic Cities	69
2.4.1 Participatory Planning	69
2.4.2 Development of Participatory and People-Centred Approaches Within World Heritage	71
2.4.3 Challenges and Limitations of the Participatory Approach Within World Heritage	74
2.5 Postcolonialism, Decolonization and “Colonial Monuments” in Sri Lanka	75
3. Methodological Framework	79
3.1 Research Approach and Methods	79
3.1.1 Research Problem	79
3.1.2 Research Approach, Methods and Field Work	79
3.1.3 Materials	81
3.1.4 Tools and Techniques	81
3.1.5 Flow of the Methodology	82
3.2 Qualitative Data Analysis Methods	83
3.2.1 Structured Interviews with Residents	83
3.2.2 Semi-structured Interviews	84
3.2.3 Questionnaires	85
3.2.4 Participant Observation and Informal Conversations	86
3.2.5 Ethical Considerations, Pitfalls and Respondent Confidentiality	87
3.2.6 Anecdotes, Mental Image of the City and Producing a Short Documentary	87
3.3 Quantitative Data Analysis Methods	88
3.3.1 Comparison of Building Stock of Galle Fort from 1988 to 2016	88
3.3.2 Creation of the GIS Database	95

4. Institutional and Legal Framework	98
4.1 International Level: Institutions and Laws	98
4.1.1 UNESCO and the Origin of the World Heritage Convention	98
4.1.2 The Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage, 1972	98
4.1.3 The World Heritage Committee and World Heritage Centre	99
4.1.4 Advisory Bodies to the World Heritage Committee and Soft Laws	100
4.2 National Level: Institutions and Laws	101
4.2.1 Department of Archaeology	101
4.2.2 Antiquities Ordinance No. 9 of 1940: A Colonial Law Manifests a Western Material-based Conservation Approach	102
4.2.3 Urban Development Authority	106
4.2.4 Central Cultural Fund	107
4.3 Local Level: Institutions and Laws	109
4.3.1 Galle Heritage Foundation	109
4.3.2 Galle Heritage Planning Sub-Committee and Special Regulations, 2009: Preserving Colonial Buildings	112
4.3.3 Galle Municipal Council	115
4.3.4 Summary of Powers over Key Institutions	115
4.4 Other Institutions	116
4.4.1 ICOMOS Sri Lanka	116
4.4.2 Public Administration of the Heritage City	117
4.4.3 Funding Agencies: The International Donors and the Dutch Government	117
4.4.4 Research Institutions and Universities	118
4.5 Institutional Policy Documents and the Decisions of the World Heritage Committee	119
4.5.1 The Preservation of the Historic City Centre of Galle, 1987	119
4.5.2 Conservation and Development of the World Heritage Site of the Dutch Fort in Galle, 2002	120
4.5.3 Development Plan for Galle Urban Development Area (Galle Municipal Council Area) 2008–2025 (Volumes I and II), 2009	122
4.5.4 World Heritage Property of the Old Town of Galle and its Fortifications: Integrated Management System 2015	123
4.5.5 The Annual Decisions of the World Heritage Committee (1997–2018)	125
Conclusion	126
 5. Changes to the Physical Urban Landscape	 127
5.1 The Townhouse as a Microcosm/Signifier of the Urban Landscape	127
5.1.1 Terminology	127
5.1.2 Dating Townhouses/Buildings in Galle Fort	127
5.1.3 Colonial Characteristics of Townhouses	129

5.1.4 Changes in the Buildings (Including Townhouses) since World Heritage Recognition	135
5.2 Turning the Townhouse into an Object of Income Generation	135
5.2.1 Townhouses Converted into Villas, Shophouses and B&Bs	138
5.2.2 Functional Changes and Architectural Changes	143
5.3 The Colonial Façade: Positive Preservation of Interior Elements	145
5.3.1 Verandahs: “Introducing Colonial Architecture”	150
5.3.2 Building Colour: The Best Observed Regulation	158
5.3.3 Roofscape: A Substantially Well-Observed Regulation	159
5.3.4 Stables and Garages: Disappearing Elements	163
5.4 Behind the Façade: Horizontal and Vertical Expansion of Space	166
5.4.1 Changes in Floors and Developments Behind the Façade	166
5.4.2 Addition of Floors: The Vertical Expansion of the City with Tourism	166
5.4.3 Hidden Floors and Attics: Increasing Space	174
5.4.4 Addition of Rooftops: An Alternative Space	176
5.4.5 Disappearing Central Courtyards: Colonial Features behind the Façade	179
5.4.6 Swimming Pools and Residential Tourism	183
5.5 A “Touristscape”	184
5.5.1 The Constant Change	184
5.5.2 Adapting “Antique [Colonial]” Architectural Elements	186
5.5.3 Inherent Hybrid Colonial Architecture vs Tropical Modernism	190
5.6 State-Owned Monuments and the General Outline of the Fort	192
Conclusion	194
 6. Socio-Economic Changes in the Urban Landscape	 197
6.1 What Galle Fort Means to Various Stakeholders	197
6.1.1 Gama (“Village”) in the Eyes of the Residents	197
6.1.2 The Rampart, the Dutch and the Sea: Local Visitors	198
6.1.3 Local Business Community, Heritage Officers and Others	200
6.1.4 Galle Fort: Collective Memories	201
6.2 Changes at Galle Fort: Perceptions of the Residents	202
6.2.1 Galle Fort Before and During the Initial Stages of the World Heritage Project	203
6.2.2 Changes with Heritage Recognition: Perceptions of the Residents	205
6.3 Gentrification: Foreign Investments and Laws	206
6.3.1 Foreign (and Local) Investments: Views of Residents	206
6.3.2 Laws and Policies Affecting Gentrification: Foreign Property Purchases and Leases	206
6.3.3 The Process of Gentrification	208
6.3.4 Foreign Investment Levels	214

6.4 Gentrification: Demography and Culture	218
6.4.1 Loss of Population	218
6.4.2 Impact of Gentrification on the Local Culture and Way of Life	219
6.4.3 Mitigating the Gentrification	222
6.5 Economy and Commercialization	223
6.5.1 Residential Streets to Shopping Streets	223
6.5.2 The Local Community and the Tourism Economy	229
6.6 Case Studies: Intangible Values of the Historic Urban Landscape	233
6.6.1 Anecdote 1: “Selling the Doll House”	233
6.6.2 Anecdote 2: New Year Treats	237
Conclusion	241
 7. Laws in Practice and Perceptions of Justice	 242
Part I	242
7.1 Heritage Laws and Conflicting Interests	242
7.1.1 Do the Residents Know the Law?	242
7.1.2 Perception of Heritage Laws: “The Law is Not Equal to All”	243
7.1.3 The Heritage Institutions, Officials and Community Expectations	243
7.1.4 Conflicting Interests: Development vs. Preservation	245
7.1.5 Conflicting Interests: The Boundary Wall of a Prestigious School	249
7.2. Special Regulations, 2009: A Burden for Ordinary Locals?	251
7.2.1 The High Cost of Chartered Architect’s Services	251
7.2.2 Delays in the Process and Partial Decisions	253
7.2.3 Rejection of Building Applications	253
7.3 “Illegal” Building Activities: “Nocturnal Architecture”	256
7.3.1 What is an Illegal Building Activity?	256
7.3.2 The Reasons: Ideas of Residents and Heritage Officers	257
7.3.3 Another Dimension of “Nocturnal Architecture”	260
7.4 Reducing “Illegal” Developments: Ideas of Heritage Officers	261
7.4.1 The Conventional Method	261
7.4.2 The Current Trend: Negotiation and Frequent Monitoring	263
7.5 Is the Law Equal to All? The Negative Aspect	264
7.5.1 The Height Restrictions: Investors vs. Residents	264
7.5.2 Demolitions: Investors vs Ordinary Businessmen and Residents	267
7.5.3 Powerful Individuals and Partner Institutions	268
7.5.4 Swimming Pools: Why Are Some Allowed?	270
7.6 Is the Law Equal to All?: The Positive Aspects	271

7.6.1 Foreign Investors: The Powerful and the Ordinary	271
7.6.2 The Locals: The Ordinary and the “Friends”	272
Part II	273
7.7 Political and Bureaucratic Will	273
7.7.1 Moving Government Buildings Out of Galle Fort	273
7.7.2 Intrusive Developments at Galle International Cricket Stadium	275
7.7.3 Galle Harbour Development Project	282
Conclusion	285
8. Conclusion and Recommendations	286
Conclusion	286
Recommendations	291
Acknowledgements	294
Appendix: Inventory of Buildings in Galle Fort (2016)	296
Bibliography	349
List of Legislation Acts and Soft Laws	366
Abbreviations and Acronyms	368
List of Figures	370
List of Tables	380