

Contents

Map of the Levant	12
Map of Eastern Europe	13
1. Introduction	15
1.1 Thesis	15
1.2 Castles	18
1.3 The Region	21
1.4 Objectives	22
1.5 Structure of Thesis	24
2. Crusades, crusaders and crusader castles	25
2.1 Crusading; its mechanisms, concise history and state formation	25
2.1.1 Characterising the crusades	26
2.1.2 “Deus lo volt!”	26
2.1.3 Settlement and state formation	30
2.2 Crusaders, military orders, Franks, Muslims, Europeans, Easterners; social and cultural identities in the crusader East	32
2.2.1 Crusaders	33
2.2.2 Military orders	34
2.3 Castles in Frankish Landscapes	35
2.4 Historiography on the analysis of crusader castles	36
2.4.1 Earlier efforts on crusader castles	37
2.4.2 Dominant modern works: Kennedy, Pringle, Molin and Ellenblum	38
3 Space as an artefact	43
3.1 Epistemological framework and theoretical basis	43
3.1.1 Studying space as an artefact	44
3.1.2 Space and mind: seeing is perceiving?	46
3.1.3 Space and behaviour: homo aedificans	48
3.2 Methodology: theory	50
3.2.1 Space syntax: workings	50
3.2.2 Space syntax: an ethnological case study	52
3.2.3 Space syntax: advantages, problems and how to solve them	53
3.2.4 Space syntax and houses	55
3.3 Methodology: practice	56
3.3.1 Crusader castles	56
3.3.2 Data collection and analyses	57
3.3.3 Methods of analysis	58

4. Castles compared part I: castles of the military orders	63
4.1 Belvoir	63
4.1.1 History	63
4.1.2 The fortress	64
4.1.3 Data	65
4.1.4 Analysis	66
4.2 Crac des Chevaliers	74
4.2.1 History	75
4.2.2 The fortress	76
4.2.3 Data	79
4.2.4 Analysis	79
4.3 Chateau Pèlerin/‘Atlit Castle	84
4.3.1 History	85
4.3.2 The fortress	86
4.3.3 Data	87
4.3.4 Analysis	88
4.4 Bağras	91
4.4.1 History	91
4.4.2 The fortress	93
4.4.3 Data	94
4.4.4 Analysis	95
4.5 Comparison and interpretation: genotypical description of the military order castles	98
4.5.1 Spatial implications	99
4.5.2 The negotiation of space: castles in context	102
5. Castles compared part II: castles of the monarchy and aristocracy	105
5.1 Kerak	107
5.1.1 History	107
5.1.2 The fortress	109
5.1.3 Data	111
5.1.4 Analysis	111
5.2 Beaufort	117
5.2.1 History	117
5.2.2 The fortress	117
5.2.3 Data	118
5.2.4 Analysis	119
5.3 Tripoli and Saone: reversed buildings	124
5.3.1 History	124
5.3.2 The fortress	127
5.3.3 Data	127
5.3.4 Analysis	128
5.4 Comparison and interpretation: genotypical description of aristocratic castles	134
5.4.1 Spatial implications	135
5.4.2 Remote spaces: chapels and military structures	137
5.4.3 Women	137
5.4.4 Donjon	139
5.4.5 The negotiation of space: castles in context	141

6. Castles compared part III: complementary buildings from Western Europe, the Baltic and the Near East	143
6.1 Western European mainland	143
6.1.1 France (Coucy, Loches)	144
6.1.2 England and Wales (Warkworth)	147
6.2 The Near East	150
6.2.1 Monasteries (Mt Tabor, Belmont, St Theodosius, Martyrius)	151
6.2.2 Looking back at the future: the 15 th century castle of St. Peter in Halicarnassus	158
6.3 The Baltic region	161
6.3.1 The ‘convent-castles’ of Eastern Europe	162
6.3.2 Marienburg	163
6.4 Interpretation	168
7. Synthesis	171
7.1 Genotypical comparison	171
7.1.1 Access analysis	171
7.1.2 DepthMap analyses	176
7.2 Social complexities of crusader castles	177
7.2.1 Strongholds of religion; the castles of the military orders	177
7.2.2 Chivalric architecture; the castles of the aristocracy	179
7.3 Religious space	183
7.4 Military aspects of space	184
8. Conclusion: the hidden complexities of the Frankish castle	187
8.1 Negotiation of space: social aspects	188
8.2 Negotiation of space: functions and use	190
8.3 Frankish castles in the Levant	191
8.4 Embodied knowledge in space	192
8.5 Recommendations for further study	193
References	195
Appendices	211
Appendix A: space syntax practice	211
Appendix B: access analyses for Belmont, Margat, Beth Guvrin, Montfort	217
Appendix C: access analyses for Giblet and Sidon	219