

Contents

Introduction	9
1. The History of Landscape Archaeology: Major Traditions and Approaches	11
1.1 Introduction	11
1.2 Landscape as Environment	12
1.2.1 Environment as Background of Human Activity	12
1.2.2 Environment as Influence on Human Activity	19
1.2.3 Environment in Relation to Surface Record	23
1.2.4 Landscape Studies and GIS	30
1.3 Landscape within a Post-Modern Context	33
1.4 Current Trends	37
1.5 Concluding Comments	39
2. Methodology of Studying Landscape Research in Crete	41
2.1 Introduction	41
2.2 'Surveys' Database Structure and Presentation	41
2.3 The Sample	46
2.4 Survey Text Analysis: Structure of the Analytical Text, which Examines Each Landscape Project	47
2.4.1 Problem Orientation: aims and methods	47
2.4.2 Presentation / Relocatability	47
2.4.3 Density per area/period	47
2.4.4 Interpretative Framework	47
2.4.5 Summary Assessment	47
2.5 'Interpretations' Database Structure and Presentation	48
2.6 The Sample	49
2.7 GIS Tools and Visualisation	50
3. Landscape Research Projects in Crete: Text Analysis	51
3.1 Introduction	51
3.2 Travellers Tradition	51
3.2.1 Survey id: Sieber	51
3.2.2 Survey id: Pashley	53
3.3 Culture History Tradition	56
3.3.1 Survey id: Pendlebury 1934	56
3.3.2 Survey id: Travels in Crete	59
3.3.3 Survey id: Hood65	62
3.3.4 Survey id: Hagios Vasilios 66	64
3.3.5 Survey id: Hood67	66
3.3.6 Survey id: Ayiofarango 75	68
3.3.7 Survey id: Ayiofarango 89	70
3.4 Human Geography Tradition	72
3.4.1 Survey id: Lehmann	72
3.4.2 Survey id: Wroncka	75

CONTENTS

3.4.3	Survey id: Paul Faure	77
3.4.4	Survey id: Nowicki	80
3.5	Topographic Tradition	84
3.5.1	Survey id: Hood Knossos	84
3.5.2	Survey id: Schiering	87
3.5.3	Survey id: Minoan Roads	90
3.5.4	Survey id: Itanos	93
3.6	Landscape Tradition	96
3.6.1	Survey id: Ayiofarango 77	96
3.6.2	Survey id: Lasithi	99
3.6.3	Survey id: Kommos	102
3.6.4	Survey id: Chania	105
3.6.5	Survey id: Palaikastro	110
3.6.6	Survey id: Phaistos	112
3.6.7	Survey id: Hagia Photia	115
3.6.8	Survey id: Pseira	118
3.6.9	Survey id: Vrokastro	122
3.6.10	Survey id: Sphakia	125
3.6.11	Survey id: Kavousi	129
3.6.12	Survey id: Malia	133
3.6.13	Survey id: Aghios Vasilios Valley	136
3.6.14	Survey id: Gournia	138
3.6.15	Survey id: Gavdos	140
3.6.16	Survey id: Praisos	142
3.6.17	Survey ids: Katelionas and Lamnoni (Ziros Survey)	145
3.7	Discussion of ‘Interpretations’ Database	148
3.7.1	Culture History Tradition	148
3.7.2	Landscape Tradition	148
4.	Analytical Approaches towards the Study of intra-Tradition Variability and inter-Tradition Comparisons	151
4.1	Spatial and Temporal spread of Landscape Projects	151
4.1.1	Travellers Tradition	151
4.1.2	Culture History Tradition	151
4.1.3	Human Geography	152
4.1.4	Topographic Tradition	153
4.1.5	Landscape Tradition	154
4.2	‘Surveys’ Database Analysis: the Sample	154
4.3	Trends in Aims	155
4.4	Trends in Multi-Disciplinarity	156
4.5	Trends in Presentation	157
4.6	Trends in Theoretical / Interpretative Framework	158
4.7	Trends and Degree of Confidence in Chronological Characterizations	161
4.8	Trends in Function Characterisations	167
4.9	Densities	171
4.10	Discussion: Evaluation of Comparability	175

5. Historiography of Landscape Research in Crete	177
5.1 Introduction	177
5.2 Travellers Tradition	178
5.2.1 Summary of main characteristics	178
5.2.2 Theoretical background and aims	178
5.2.3 Methods	178
5.2.4 Site definition / Relocatability	178
5.2.5 Results	179
5.2.6 Interpretative Framework	179
5.2.7 General Assessment	179
5.3 Culture History Tradition	180
5.3.1 Summary of main characteristics	180
5.3.2 Theoretical background and aims	180
5.3.3 Methods	181
5.3.4 Site definition / Relocatability	182
5.3.5 Results	183
5.3.6 Interpretative Framework	183
5.3.7 General assessment	184
5.4 Human Geography Tradition	185
5.4.1 Summary of main characteristics	185
5.4.2 Theoretical background and aims	185
5.4.3 Methods	186
5.4.4 Site definition / Relocatability	186
5.4.5 Results	186
5.4.6 Interpretative Framework	186
5.4.7 General assessment	187
5.5 Topographic Tradition	188
5.5.1 Summary of main characteristics	188
5.5.2 Theoretical background and aims	188
5.5.3 Methods	188
5.5.4 Site definition / Relocatability	188
5.5.5 Results	189
5.5.6 Interpretative Framework	189
5.5.7 General assessment	190
5.6 Landscape Tradition	191
5.6.1 Summary of main characteristics	191
5.6.2 Theoretical background and aims	191
5.6.3 Methods	192
5.6.4 Site definition / Relocatability	192
5.6.5 Results	193
5.6.6 Interpretative Framework	193
5.6.7 General assessment	194
5.7 Concluding Remarks	196
6. Using Landscape Research Data in Siteia, eastern Crete: a Case Study	197
6.1 Introduction	197
6.2 Methodology	197
6.3 Integration	199

CONTENTS

6.4	Summary of acquired knowledge per project	201
6.4.1	Pendlebury 1934 (table 6.4.1)	201
6.4.2	Wroncka (table 6.4.2)	203
6.4.3	Nowicki (table 6.4.3)	204
6.4.4	Minoan Roads (table 6.4.4)	206
6.4.5	Hagia Photia (table 6.4.5)	206
6.4.6	Praisos (table 6.4.6)	207
6.4.7	Ziros (Katelionas & Lamnoni) (tables 6.4.7a and 6.4.7b)	210
6.5	Synthesis	211
6.5.1	Neolithic / Final Neolithic / Early Minoan I	211
6.5.2	Prepalatial (EM – MM IA)	211
6.5.3	Protopalatial (MM IB - II)	212
6.5.4	Neopalatial (MM III – LM IB)	212
6.5.5	Palatial	213
6.5.6	Postpalatial	213
6.5.7	LM IIIC – PG	214
6.5.8	Greek	215
6.5.9	GR	215
6.5.10	BVT	216
6.6	Conclusions	216
7.	CONCLUSIONS: Archaeological Survey Data Integration	219
7.1	Thesis Summary	219
7.2	The Need to Integrate Archaeological Landscape Research Data	219
7.3	Problems in Data Integration	220
7.3.1	Methodological variability	220
7.3.2	Lack of publication standards	220
7.4	Towards a Meaningful Publication of Survey. Data and Interpretations	221
	References	223
	Survey bibliography	255
	List of Figures	273
	List of Tables	273
	List of Graphs	273
	List of appendices	274
	List on cd-Rom	274
	Abbreviations and Vocabulary	275
	Acknowledgements	277
	Curriculum Vitae	279